



Effects of Turbine Spacings in Very Large Wind Farms

Andersen, Søren Juhl; Sørensen, Jens Nørkær; Mikkelsen, Robert Flemming

Publication date:
2015

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Andersen, S. J. (Author), Sørensen, J. N. (Author), & Mikkelsen, R. F. (Author). (2015). Effects of Turbine Spacings in Very Large Wind Farms. Sound/Visual production (digital)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Effects of Turbine Spacings in Very Large Wind Farms.

Søren Juhl Andersen, Jens Nørkær Sørensen, Robert Flemming Mikkelsen

November 23, 2015

DTU Wind Energy, Technical University of Denmark.

Overview

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Methodology
- 3 Simulations
- 4 Results
- 5 Conclusions

Motivation



Wake effects in Horns Rev 1. Photographer Christian Steiness.

As the size of wind farms continue to grow, there is an increasing demand for understanding and predicting wake effects.

The importance of wake effects are basically related to:

- Decreased production
- Increased loads.

Motivation





Wake effects in Horns Rev 1. Photographer Christian Steiness.

Increased understanding will enable engineers to:

- Optimising farm layout, e.g. increased production.
- Optimising turbine design, e.g. lower material costs.
- Predicting power production, e.g. farm control.

Modelling a Wind Turbine and its Wake



Fully coupled LES and aero-elastic(Flex5) codes.

Further details in e.g. Sørensen, J. N. et al., Royal Society of London. Philosophical Transactions A, 2015. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2014.0071>

Flow Solver

The flow solver EllipSys3D¹ is used to solve the filtered 3D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{V}}}{\partial t} + \overline{\mathbf{V}} \cdot \nabla \overline{\mathbf{V}} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \overline{p} + \nabla [(\nu + \nu_{SGS}) \nabla \overline{\mathbf{V}}] + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{f}_{WT} + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{f}_{pbl} + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{f}_{mf} + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{f}_{turb}.$$

$$\nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{V}} = 0.$$

where a number of added forces are added to mimic various effects.

The velocity(\mathbf{V}) is decomposed into a sum of the filtered velocity($\overline{\mathbf{V}}$) containing the large scales and the small scales(\mathbf{v}') modelled using a sub-grid scale(SGS) model:



$$\mathbf{V} = \overline{\mathbf{V}} + \mathbf{v}'$$

¹Michelsen, AFM, 1992 and Sørensen, DTU, 1995

Inflow Conditions:

Shear exponent: $\alpha_{PBL} = 0.14$.


Same Mann turbulence² applied with different forcing.



²Mann, 1994 and 1998.


Table : Overview of simulations.

U_0	TI	Shear	Spacing/Domain ($S_X \times S_Y$)	Time
$8m/s$	0%	0.14	$12R \times 20R$	$60min$
$8m/s$	3%	0.14	$12R \times 20R$	$60min$
$8m/s$	15%	0.14	$12R \times 20R$	$60min$
$15m/s$	15%	0.14	$12R \times 20R$	$60min$
$8m/s$	0%	0.14	$12R \times 12R$	$60min$
$15m/s$	0%	0.14	$12R \times 12R$	$60min$
$8m/s$	0%	0.14	$14R \times 14R$	$60min$
$8m/s$	0%	0.14	$20R \times 20R$	$60min$




Further details in e.g. Andersen, S. J. et al., Journal of Physics. DOI: 10.1088/1742-6596/625/1/012027

Velocity distributions:




Further details in e.g. Andersen, S. J. et al., Journal of Physics. DOI: 10.1088/1742-6596/625/1/012027


Power Production of 6th-16th Turbines:



Power Production of 6th-16th Turbines:




Power Production of 6th-16th Turbines:




Added Turbulence:

Farm turbulence(Frandsen, 2005): $I_{T, wf}^2 = I_0^2 + I_{add, wf}^2$, where $I_{add, wf}^2 \approx \frac{0.36}{1+0.2\sqrt{\frac{S_x S_y}{C_T}}}$




Equivalent Loads of 6th-16th Turbines:

Equivalent Flap Moments.



Equivalent Yaw Moments.



Conclusions and Discussion

- Converged velocity after about 4th turbine, but still large variations in power productions of individual turbines.
- Turbulence enhances the wake recovery.
- Streamwise spacing more important than transverse in terms of wake recovery.
- The standards appear to overestimate the added and total farm turbulence consistently at the turbines.
- Equivalent flap moment increase with increase in atmospheric turbulence(recovery), but yaw is unchanged.
- Equivalent loads highly dependant on spacing, decreases for large distances as the wakes breaks down.

Acknowledgements



- Nordic Consortium on Optimization and Control of Wind Farms

Thanks for your attention.